

# On the index of imprimitivity of a non-negative matrix

By ŠTEFAN SCHWARZ in Bratislava (ČSSR)

## 1.

Let  $A$  be a non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix. To study the distribution of zeros and non-zeros in the matrices of the sequence

$$(1) \quad A, A^2, A^3, \dots$$

we have introduced in [2] the following notations. Consider the set of symbols  $E = \{e_{ij} | i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  together with a zero 0 adjoined. Define in  $S = \{0\} \cup E$  a multiplication by

$$e_{ij} e_{lm} = \begin{cases} e_{im} & \text{for } j=l, \\ 0 & \text{for } j \neq l, \end{cases}$$

the zero element having the usual properties of a multiplicative zero. Then  $S$  (with this multiplication) is a semigroup.

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix. By the support  $C_A$  of  $A$  we shall mean the subset of  $S$  containing 0 and all  $e_{ij}$  for which  $a_{ij} > 0$ .

For two non-negative  $n \times n$  matrices  $A, B$  we have  $C_{AB} = C_A C_B$ , where the product to the right has the usual meaning used in the theory of semigroups.

In particular the supports of the elements of the sequence (1) are

$$(2) \quad C_A, C_A^2, C_A^3, \dots$$

Since this sequence has only a finite number of different elements (subsets of  $S$ ) it can be written in the form

$$C_A, C_A^2, \dots, C_A^{k-1} | C_A^k, \dots, C_A^{k+d-1} | C_A^k, \dots, C_A^{k+d-1} | \dots$$

Here  $C_A^k, k = k(A)$ , is the least power in (2) which appears more than once and  $d$  is the period with which all the following powers repeat.

Denote further  $S_i = \{0\} \cup \{e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{in}\}$  and  $F_i = F_i(A) = S_i \cap C_A$ , so that  $F_i$  is the "support" of the  $i$ -th row in  $A$ .

The sequence

$$F_i, F_i C_A, F_i C_A^2, \dots$$

contains again only a finite number of different elements (subsets of  $S_i$ ) and it is of the form

$$F_i, F_i C_A, \dots, F_i C_A^{k_i-2} | F_i C_A^{k_i-1}, \dots, F_i C_A^{k_i+d_i-2} | F_i C_A^{k_i-1}, \dots$$

where the integers  $k_i, d_i$  have an analogous meaning as the integers  $k$  and  $d$  above.

For details concerning these notions see [3].

In [3] and [4] we have proved:

Lemma 1. For any non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  we have:

- a)  $k(A) = \max(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ ;
- b)  $d(A) = \text{l.c.m. } [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ .

Lemma 2. If  $A$  is irreducible, then  $d(A) = d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n$ .

Denote by  $g_i$  the number of non-zero elements in  $F_i$ . In the papers [3] and [4] we have found some estimates concerning the numbers  $k_i$  in terms of  $n$  and  $g_i$ . For instance we have proved  $k_i \leq 1 + (n - g_i)(n - g_i + 1)$ .

It is intuitively clear that also the numbers  $d_i$  depend on  $g_i$ . It is the purpose of this paper to give an estimation concerning  $d = d(A)$  in terms of  $n$  and  $g_i$ . For an irreducible matrix  $A$  the number  $d$  is identical with the classical notion of the index of imprimitivity of  $A$ . Our main result is formulated in the theorem below.

## 2.

Let  $M$  be any non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix. It is well known that there is a permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $PM\bar{P}^{-1}$  is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & & \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \\ \vdots & & \\ A_{r1} & A_{r2} & \dots & A_{rr} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{\alpha\alpha}$  are irreducible matrices (including the case that some of the  $A_{\alpha\alpha}$  are zero matrices of order 1). It is easy to see that  $d(A) = d(M)$ . Further it can be proved (see [1], [5]) that  $d(A) = \text{l.c.m. } [d(A_{11}), d(A_{22}), \dots, d(A_{rr})]$ . Hence  $d(A)$  does not depend on the rectangular matrices  $A_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

It is therefore sufficient to restrict ourselves to the case of an irreducible matrix  $A$ .

In [3] we have proved:

Lemma 3. If  $A$  is irreducible (of order  $n$ ), then there is an integer  $h_i$  such that  $1 \leq h_i \leq n$  and  $F_i \subset F_i C_A^{h_i}$ . Here:

- a) if  $e_{ii} \in F_i$ , we may choose  $h_i = 1$ ,
- b) if  $F_i$  contains  $g_i$  non-zero elements  $\in S_i$ , we have, for the least number  $h_i$  satisfying the above condition,  $h_i \leq n - g_i + 1$ .

Consider now the chain

$$F_i \subset F_i C_A^{h_i} \subset F_i C_A^{2h_i} \subset \dots$$

Since any member of this chain contains at most  $n + 1$  different elements (namely the elements  $0, e_{i1}, \dots, e_{in}$ ) there is an integer  $\tau \geq 1$  such that

$$(3) \quad F_i C_A^{\tau h_i} = F_i C_A^{h_i + h_i},$$

hence  $d_i \leq h_i \leq n - g_i + 1$ . With respect to the definition of the number  $d_i$  we conclude from (3) that  $d_i | h_i$ . By Lemma 2 we obtain  $d | h_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We have proved:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A$  be irreducible. Denote  $\delta = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$ . We then have  $d | \delta$ .*

Lemma 4 implies  $d \leq \delta = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \leq \min_i h_i \leq n + 1 - \max_i g_i$ . We have proved:

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an irreducible non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix. Denote by  $g_i$  the number of positive entries in the  $i$ -th row of  $A$ . Then  $d(A) \leq n + 1 - \max_i g_i$ .*

**Remark.** In terms of the integers  $h_i$  we may state the following. If  $d < \min_i h_i$ , then  $d | \min_i h_i$  implies that we certainly have  $d \leq \frac{1}{2} \min_i h_i$ . If here again the equality does not hold, we have  $d \leq \frac{1}{3} \min_i h_i$ . And so on.

### 3.

We now give some corollaries.

Suppose that  $d(A) = n$ . Then  $n \leq n + 1 - \max_i g_i$  implies  $g_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence  $C_A$  is the support of an (irreducible) permutation matrix. This can be stated in the following forms:

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that for an irreducible non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  we have  $d(A) = n$ . Then the matrix obtained by replacing the positive entries in  $A$  by the number 1 is a permutation matrix.*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A$  be a non-negative irreducible  $n \times n$  matrix. Suppose that replacing all positive entries in  $A$  by the number 1 we obtain a matrix which is not a permutation matrix. Then  $d(A) \leq n - 1$ .*

**Example 1.** In general the result of Corollary 2 cannot be sharpened. This is shown on the  $4 \times 4$  matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $C_A = C_A^4$ . Therefore  $d(A) = 3$ . In this case  $h_i = 3$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) and  $d(A) = \min_i h_i$ .

**Example 2.** If  $\max_i g_i = n - 1$ , our Theorem implies  $d(A) \leq 2$ . This result is sharp in the following sense. To any  $n \geq 2$  there is an irreducible  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$

with  $\max_i g_i = n-1$  such that  $d(A)=2$ . This property has for instance the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $C_A \cup C_A^2 = S$ , the matrix  $A$  is irreducible (see [2], Theorem 1) and clearly we have  $d(A)=2$ .

The result of our Theorem is also sharp in the following sense. To any  $n$  and any  $g$ ,  $1 \leq g \leq n-1$ , there exist numbers  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  with  $\max(g_1, \dots, g_n) = g$  and a matrix  $A$  having  $g_i$  non-zero elements in the  $i$ th row of  $A$  such that  $d(A) = n+1-g$ . Take for this purpose the matrix  $A$  with  $C_A = \{0, e_{12}, e_{23}, \dots, e_{n-g, n-g+1}, e_{n-g+1, 1}, e_{1, n-g+2}, \dots, e_{1, n}, e_{n-g+2, 3}, \dots, e_{n, 3}\}$ . Here  $g_1 = g, g_2 = \dots = g_n = 1$ . It can be shown that  $C_A = C_A^{n-g+2}$  and  $n-g+2$  is the least number  $l \neq 1$  satisfying  $C_A^l = C_A$ . Hence  $k(A)=1$  and  $d(A)=n-g+1$ .

If at least one of the numbers  $h_i$  is equal to 1, we have  $d=\delta=1$ . This means that some power of  $A$  is positive. Such a matrix is called *primitive*. Hence:

**Corollary 3.** *If an irreducible matrix  $A$  contains at least one row with  $F_i \subset F_i C_A$ , then  $A$  is primitive.*

By Lemma 3 this is certainly the case if  $e_{ii} \in F_i$  for some  $i$ . This implies the following well-known result which goes back to Frobenius:

**Corollary 4.** *If  $A$  is irreducible and it contains a positive entry in the main diagonal, then  $A$  is primitive.*

**Remark.** The condition  $F_i \subset F_i C_A$  is weaker than the condition  $e_{ii} \in F_i$ . For instance, for a matrix  $A$  with

$$C_A = \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e_{23} \\ e_{31} & 0 & e_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have  $F_2 = \{0, e_{23}\} \subset F_2 C_A = \{0, e_{21}, e_{23}\}$ , while  $e_{22} \notin F_2$ .

Since  $d|\delta = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  we also have:

**Corollary 5.** *If two of the numbers  $h_i$  are relatively prime, then  $A$  is primitive.*

**Example 3.** The following example shows that  $d < \delta$  is possible. Consider the matrix  $A$  and its powers:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $i=1, 2, 3$  we have  $F_i \not\subset F_i C_A$  but  $F_i \subset F_i C_A^2$ , so that  $h_1=h_2=h_3=2$ ; hence  $\delta=2$ . But our matrix is primitive, i.e.,  $d(A)=1 < \delta$ .

**Remark.** It is worth to remark that the set  $\{h_i\}$  is not identical with an other set of integers (denoted below by  $\{r_i\}$ ), which can be associated to any irreducible (and some reducible) non-negative matrices. Let  $A$  be irreducible. Denote by  $r_i$  the least integer  $\geq 1$  such that  $e_{ii} \in F_i C_A^{r_i-1}$  and define  $F_i C_A^0 = F_i$ . For an irreducible matrix  $r_i$  always exists and we have  $r_i \leq n$ . (In the graph-theoretical treatment of non-negative matrices the  $r_i$ 's are the lengths of elementary circuits.) Since  $e_{ii} \in F_i C_A^{r_i-1}$  implies  $F_i = e_{ii} C_A \subset F_i C_A^{r_i}$ , we have  $h_i \leq r_i \leq n$ . It is known that  $d=(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$  in contradistinction to  $d \leq (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$ .

### References

- [1] Ю. И. Любич, Оценка для оптимальной детерминизации недетерминированных автономных автоматов, *Сибирский Матем. Ж.*, **5** (1964), 337—355.
- [2] Š. SCHWARZ, A semigroup treatment of some theorems on non-negative matrices, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **15** (90) (1965), 212—229.
- [3] Š. SCHWARZ, A new approach to some problems in the theory of non-negative matrices, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **16** (91) (1966), 274—284.
- [4] Š. SCHWARZ, Some estimates in the theory of non-negative matrices, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **17** (92) (1967). To appear.
- [5] Ш. Шварц, Заметка к теории неотрицательных матриц, *Сибирский Матем. Ж.*, **6** (1965), 207—211.

(Received December 20, 1966)